



Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate,
Civil Courts, Tehsil Complex,
Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o M.C., Phillaur,
Distt. Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ Deputy Director,
Local Government,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1559 of 2021

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh (Advocate), appellant is present.
Shri Randhir Singh, SPIO, O/o M.C., Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar is present.

O R D E R

Shri Tejinder Singh (Advocate), appellant filed RTI application dated 20.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o M.C., Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meeting Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent SPIO stated that the information regarding the deficiencies and the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- has been provided by hand to the appellant on 08.11.2021 vide letter no.92 dated 08.11.2021. He further stated that the compliance has been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.92 dated 08.11.2021 along with a photocopy of demand draft no.526188 dated 08.11.2021 in the name of Shri Tejinder Singh.

Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant stated that he has received the complete information and the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- and he is satisfied with the information.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and also paid the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 09.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Gurbax Singh Charaya (Er.)
8, Basant Kunj,
P.O. Ladhewali, Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Tehsildar-1,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o SDM-1, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2023 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gurbax Singh Charaya (Er.), appellant is present.
Ms. Manmeet Gupta, Reader to Naib Tehsildar is present on behalf of Shri
Rupinder Pal Singh Bal, SPIO-cum-Tehsildar, O/o Tehsildar-1, Jalandhar.

ORDER

Shri Gurbax Singh Charaya (Er.), appellant filed RTI application dated 26.11.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Tehsildar-1, Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

On the other hand the respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 22.09.2021, the reply/information regarding point no.3 of the RTI application has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 08.10.2021 after receiving the same from the office of the Deputy Commissioner, (SK Branch), Jalandhar vide letter no.1448 dated 04.10.2021. She further stated that the compliance report has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.89 dated 08.10.2021 along with a copy of letter no.1448 dated 04.10.2021.

I have gone through point no.3 of the RTI application and the appellant sought information regarding details of patwaris trapped by the vigilance department on account of corruption/bribe charges since January, 2016 and action taken against them. The list of patwaris was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 26.08.2021 and now the action taken has also been provided to the appellant vide letter no.1448 dated 04.10.2021.

So, the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the sought for information to the appellant, hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 09.11.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Lachhman Singh,
S/o Shri Dalip Singh,
Village Gobindgarh, P.O. Jugiana,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2131 of 2021

Present:- Shri Lachhman Singh, appellant is absent.
Constable Jaspreet Singh, Chowki Kanganwal, P.S. Sahnewal is present
on behalf of the respondent SPIO.

O R D E R

Shri Lachhman Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 18.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the sought for information and the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- vide DD No. 010644 dated 08.11.2021 has been provided to the appellant by hand and the appellant has executed a duly signed receipt after receiving the information and the amount of compensation.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of report of SHO, Police Station, Sahnewal along with a copy of duly signed receipt executed by the appellant, Shri Lachhman Singh.

I have gone through the report and the duly signed receipt executed by the appellant, Shri Lachhman Singh. The appellant submitted in the receipt that he has received the information and the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/-. The appellant further requested to close his case.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information and the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- to the appellant and the appellant vide his written submission requested to close his case, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 09.11.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Tarun Chadha, S/o Shri Sudesh Kumar Chadha,
R/o 57, Shiva Enclave, Opposite Victoria Enclave,
Zirakpur.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 644 of 2021

Present:- Advocate Nitin Mattoo is present on behalf of Shri Tarun Chadha, applicant.
Dr. Kulwinder Kaur, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Director, O/o DPI (SE), Pb., Mohali is present.

O R D E R

Shri Tarun Chadha, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 14.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Advocate Nitin Mattoo is present on behalf of the applicant and Dr. Kulwinder Kaur, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Director is also present.

Dr. Kulwinder Kaur, SPIO stated that in compliance to the order dated 23.09.2021 she has sent reply to the complaint filed by the complainant-applicant vide letter no. 2021355814 dated 02.11.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 2021355814 dated 02.11.2021 along with a copy of office noting dated 01.11.2021 and copy of letter dated 26.08.2021.

I have gone through letter no. 2021355814 dated 02.11.2021 vide which the SPIO has sent reply to the complaint filed by the complainant-applicant. The SPIO submitted in the reply that the RTI application dated 14.01.2021/15.01.2021 filed by the applicant was not received in the office of the SPIO, rather, it has been received along with the notice of hearing sent by the Punjab State Information Commission and after receiving the notice of hearing, the reply was sent to the applicant and to the Commission vide letter dated 26.08.2021. The SPIO has further submitted in the reply that the applicant has not filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority, Shri Sukhjot Pal Singh, PCS, Director, Education Department (SE) Punjab.

So, as per the reply submitted by the SPIO as mentioned above, it is clear that the SPIO is not liable for the delay in providing the reply/information to the applicant, so no complaint lies against the SPIO. So, I disposed of the present complaint case with the direction to the First Appellate Authority i.e. Director, Education Department (SE) Punjab that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and personally hear him and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 09.11.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab

(Regd.) CC : Director, Education Department (SE) Punjab, Mohali. – for compliance.

Shri Parkash Singh,
Retd. Chief Engineer (Panchayati Raj),
73-B, Madhuban Enclave,
Barewal Road, Near Gurdev Hospital,
Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Special Secretary and
J.D.C., Rural Development and
Panchayat Department, Punjab,
Vikas Bhawan, Sector 62, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 675 of 2021

Present:- Shri Parkash Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Special Secretary and J.D.C., Rural
Development and Panchayat Department, Punjab, Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Parkash Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 23.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Special Secretary and J.D.C., Rural Development and Panchayat Department, Punjab, Vikas Bhawan, Sector 62, Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the order dated 23.09.2021 and on that date Shri Sagar Mittal, Sr. Asstt. was present and he stated that information comprising of attested copies of 50 pages has been sent to the applicant through registered post vide letter no. 4723 dated 24.05.2021, but the said letter was returned back undelivered in the office of the SPIO with the remarks "left and has went to abroad". So, the SPIO was directed that once again the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the applicant through registered post within 5 days and compliance be sent to the Commission.

In compliance to the order the SPIO has sent a mail to the Commission on 24.09.2021 diarized vide no. 21761, which is a copy of letter no. 8886 dated 23.09.2021 along with copy of letter no. 4723 dated 24.05.2021, copy of letter dated 05.07.2021 and a copy of letter no. 8771 dated 20.09.2021.

I have gone through letter no. 8886 dated 23.09.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the applicant vide his letter dated 05.07.2021 has intimated that he is going out of station, so the information sought by him be sent to Shri Gurmit Singh, Punjab Police(Crime Branch), 56, Jeevan Preet Nagar, Near Global Hospital, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana. The SPIO further submitted that accordingly the information was sent at the address stated above through registered post vide letter no. 8771 dated 20.09.2021.

As the SPIO has complied with the order of the Commission and sent the information to the complainant-applicant, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 09.11.2021



Shri Jagdev Singh,
S/o Shri Nahar Singh,
R/o H.NO. 501, Ward No.9,
Village Mullanpur,
Tehsil & Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP (Rural),
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 677 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jagdev Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Constable Nirmal Kumar is present on behalf of the SPIO O/o Police Station
Dakha, Ludhiana is present.

O R D E R

Shri Jagdev Rani, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 08.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that in compliance to the order dated 23.09.2021 the sought for information has been sent to the applicant and his counsel Shri Mukesh Kumar through Courier on 23.09.2021 and also sent through e-mail.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.376 dated 24.09.2021 along with a copy of courier receipt dated 23.09.2021. I have gone through letter no.376 dated 24.09.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information has been sent to the applicant and his counsel Shri Mukesh Kumar through Courier on 23.09.2021 and also sent through e-mail.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information to the applicant through courier on 23.09.2021 and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 09.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ravinder Pal, S/o Shri Tarsem Pal,
R/o Village Dhandra, Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Distt. Development & Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 678 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ravinder Pal, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Jaswinder Singh, Suptd., O/o DDPO, Ludhiana is present.

O R D E R

Shri Ravinder Pal, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 03.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DDPO, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today. The applicant stated that no information/reply has been provided to him by Shri Kiranpal Singh, Panchayat Secretary till date.

The respondent stated that the RTI application of the applicant was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the BDPO, Ludhiana-1 vide letter no. 4403 dated 18.09.2020. He further stated that after receiving the notice from the Commission the BDPO Ludhiana-1 was again intimated vide letter no. 11183 dated 08.11.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 11133 dated 09.11.2021 along with copy of letter no. 11183 dated 08.11.2021 and a copy of letter no. 4403 dated 18.09.2020.

I have gone through the Order dated 23.09.2021 vide which a show cause notice was issued to the SPIO, O/o Distt. Development & Panchayat Officer, Ludhiana and he was directed to file reply to the show cause notice. But today the respondent stated that the RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the BDPO, Ludhiana-1 vide letter no. 4403 dated 18.09.2020, so, there is no fault on the part of the SPIO, O/o DDPO, Ludhiana. Hence, the show cause notice issued to him is hereby dropped and filed.

The applicant requested that the sought for information be provided to him.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

So, I disposed of the present complaint case with the direction to the BDPO, Ludhiana-1 that he should call the complainant-applicant within 30 days and personally hear him and resolve the matter involved in the RTI application by passing a speaking order and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 09.11.2021

(Regd.) CC : BDPO, Ludhiana-1.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

– for compliance of the Order.



Shri Jasbir Singh, (M: 98882-96107),
Village Bholapur, Jhabewal,
Post Office Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

(Regd.) Public Information Officer,
O/o Regional Transport Authority,
Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Sector 17, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2075 of 2019

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is absent.
Ms. Anita Rani, Jr. Asstt. , O/o Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar is present.

O R D E R

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.03.2019 and sought some information from the office of the PIO.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar is present and she stated that the amount of penalty of Rs.25,000/- has been deducted from the salary of Shri Hardeep Singh, PCS, the then PIO-cum-Secretary, RTA, Faridkot, now posted as Asstt. Commissioner (General), Jalandhar vide Bill No. 158 dated 02.09.2021 and deposited in the Govt. Treasury.

The Commission has received a e-mail sent by Suptd., O/o the Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar, which is a copy of letter no. 658 dated 08.11.2021 along with copy of letter no. 3339 dated 10.09.2021.

I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted that the amount of penalty of Rs.25,000/- has been deducted from the salary of Shri Hardeep Singh, PCS, the then PIO-cum-Secretary, RTA, Faridkot, now posted as Asstt. Commissioner (General), Jalandhar vide Bill No. 158 dated 02.09.2021 and deposited in the Govt. Treasury.

As the information in the present case has already been provided to the appellant and now the amount of penalty of Rs.25,000/- has also been deposited in the Govt. Treasury, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 09.11.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) : CC: Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot. – for information.

(Regd.) : CC: State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Sector -17, Chandigarh. for information.

(Regd.): CC: Shri Hardeep Singh, PCS, Asstt. Commissioner (General), Jalandhar.

- for information.

(Regd.) : CC: Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar. – for information.



Shri Rajan Sharma,
S/o Shri Madan Lal,
R/o VPO Barmalipur, Tehsil Payal,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o BDPO, Doraha,
Distt. Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DDPO,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2050 of 2021

Present:- Shri Rajan Sharma, appellant is absent.
Shri Nitin, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO,
Doraha, Distt. Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Rajan Sharma, appellant filed RTI application dated 05.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Doraha, Distt. Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX SOFTWARE.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the sought for information comprising of 100 pages has been provided to the appellant by hand on 08.11.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, which is a copy of letter dated 08.11.2021.

I have gone through letter dated 08.11.2012 vide which the point-wise information comprising of 100 pages has been provided by hand to the appellant and the appellant has made a duly signed noting on the said letter "Received on 08.11.2021, but it still incomplete".

At this the respondent Panchayat Secretary stated that regarding point no.4 of the RTI application, the appellant is seeking information regarding the Notification issued by the Govt. of Punjab for leveling the streets, but regarding this no Notification has been issued by the Government and he has provided written reply regarding this to the appellant.

The respondent has provided point-wise information/reply to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 09.11.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Arun Garg (Er.),
S/o Shri Sham Lal,
H.No. 40-41, Central Town,
Pakhawal Road, VPO Daad,
Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Sadar, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 502 of 2021

Present:- Shri Arun Garg (Er.), complainant/applicant is absent.
ASI Ravinder Kumar is present on behalf of the SPIO-cum-SHO, Police Station,
Sadar, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Arun Garg (Er.), complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 16.03.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Sadar, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the applicant sought information regarding eight UID Numbers and the information regarding them has been sent to the applicant on 08.11.2021 and the compliance has also been sent to the Commission. He further stated that some applications has been seen and filed and some are still pending.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of report dated 08.11.2021 along with inquiry reports.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.03.2020 and the applicant has sought information regarding eight UID Numbers and the SPIO vide report dated 08.11.2021 has sent the information regarding those eight UID Numbers to the appellant.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information to the applicant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 09.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Harjit Singh, S/o Late Shri Surjit Singh,
VPO Jhuner, Tehsil Ahmedgarh,
Distt. Sangrur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Distt. Development and Panchayat Officer,
Distt. Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ Distt. Development and Panchayat Officer,
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1589 of 2021

Present:- Advocate Harinder Pal Singh is present on behalf of Shri Harjit Singh, appellant. Ms. Rimpay Garg, Distt. Development and Panchayat Officer, Malerkotla is present.

ORDER

Shri Harjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 26.11.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Distt. Development and Panchayat Officer, Distt. Sangrur.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Advocate Harinder Pal Singh is present on behalf of Shri Harjit Singh, appellant and Ms. Rimpay Garg, Distt. Development and Panchayat Officer, Malerkotla is also present.

The counsel of the appellant stated that the sought for information has yet not been provided to the appellant by the respondent SPIO.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the reply/information had already been sent to the appellant on 03.11.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the DDPO, Malerkotla which is a copy of letter no.07 dated 03.11.2021 along with a copy of letter no.57 dated 20.09.2021, copy of letter no.35 dated 01.09.2021 and a copy of letter no.10 dated 07.07.2021.

I have gone through letter no.07 dated 03.11.2021 and it is submitted by the DDPO, Malerkotla that the applicant has sought information regarding action taken report on his complaint dated 12.06.2020 and regarding that letter no.57 dated 20.09.2021, letter no.35 dated 01.09.2021 and letter no.10 dated 07.07.2021 were issued to the Tehsildar, Ahmedgarh for the demarcation of the related land, but till date he has not sent any demarcation report.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 26.11.2020 and also gone through the reply sent by the DDPO, Malerkotla vide letter no.07 dated 03.11.2021.

As the respondent has sent the reply/information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 09.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Birchh Bhan,
S/o Sh. Saroop Chand,
R/o H.No. 33, Kahangarh Road,
Patran, Distt. Patiala.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o EO, Block Samiti,
Samana, Distt. Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o BDPO, Samana,
Distt. Patiala

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2951 of 2021

Present:- Shri Birchh Bhan, appellant is present.
Shri Jagtar Singh, Tax Collector is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o E.O.,
Block Samiti, Samana, Distt. Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Birchh Bhan, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o E.O., Block Samiti, Samana, Distt. Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

On the other hand the respondent stated that 8 pages of information was sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.189 dated 03.11.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance. He further stated that the remaining information has also been sent to the appellant through registered post today itself. The respondent stated that now complete information has been sent to the appellant.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.189 dated 03.11.2021 vide which the information was sent to the appellant.

As the SPIO has sent the remaining information to the appellant through registered post today itself, which may reach the appellant within 2-3 days, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 09.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Balwinder Singh, S/o Sh. Jaswant Singh,
R/o #907, Street No.3, New Ashok
Nagar C, Khurd Chowk,
PO Netaji Nagar, Salem Tibri,
Ludhiana-8.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SHO, Police Station,
Ladhowal, Distt. Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2945 of 2021

Present:- Shri Balwinder Singh, appellant is absent.
ASI Raj Kumar-1567/LDH is present on behalf of the SPIO-cum- SHO, Police
Station, Ladhowal, Distt. Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Balwinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 13.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Ladhowal, Distt. Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that in compliance to the order dated 26.10.2021, the self declaration that the information sought by the appellant is not traceable in the record of the public authority i.e. SHO, Police Station, Ladhowal, Distt. Ludhiana has been sent to the appellant and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a self declaration made by Inspector Satwant Singh-468/KHN, SHO, Police Station, Ladhowal, Distt. Ludhiana. I have gone through the said self declaration and it is submitted by the SHO that the information sought by the appellant cannot be provided to him as the Investigation Officer, Inspector Varinder Pal Singh has been expired.

I have gone through the Order dated 26.10.2021 and the SPIO was directed that either provide the sought for information to the appellant or submit a duly attested affidavit that the information sought by the appellant is not traceable in the record of the public authority i.e. SHO, Police Station, Ladhowal, Distt. Ludhiana.

As the SPIO has complied with the Order and sent a self declaration to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 09.11.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.